

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
BEAUMONT DIVISION

TIMOTHY W. DAVIS §
VS. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:07cv757
DIRECTOR, TDCJ-CID §

MEMORANDUM OPINION REGARDING TRANSFER

Petitioner Timothy W. Davis, an inmate confined at the Eastham Unit of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division, proceeding *pro se*, brings this petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

The above-styled action was referred to the undersigned magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to the United States Magistrate Judge for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for the disposition of the case.

Discussion

On March 26, 1991, in the 202nd Judicial District Court of San Augustine County, Texas, petitioner was convicted of attempted murder. Petitioner sentenced to a term of fifteen (15) years imprisonment.

Petitioner brings this petition seeking reconsideration of his parole review, asserting that he has passed the completion date of his sentence. Additionally, petitioner asserts that by denying him parole, the Parole Board has denied him equal protection of the laws.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d), a petitioner may bring his petition for writ of habeas corpus in the district court for the district wherein such person is in custody or in the district court for the district within which he was convicted. Section 2241(d) further provides that the district court in the exercise of its discretion may transfer the action to the other district in the furtherance of justice.

Petitioner was convicted and sentenced in San Augustine County which is located in the Lufkin Division of the Eastern District of Texas. Thus, jurisdiction is proper in the Eastern District of Texas. However, the petition has been inadvertently filed in the Beaumont Division instead of the Lufkin Division.


While jurisdiction is proper in the Eastern District of Texas, the court has considered the circumstances and has determined that the interest of justice would best be served if this petition were transferred to the division in which the petitioner was convicted.

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), for the convenience of parties and witnesses and in the interest of justice, a district court may transfer any civil action to any other district or division where it could have been brought. Such a transfer may be done *sua sponte* and is reviewable only for an abuse of discretion. *Mills v. Beech Aircraft Corp.*, 886 F.2d 758, 761 (5th Cir. 1989).

Since petitioner complains of a conviction which occurred in the Lufkin Division and is confined at the Eastham Unit which is also located in the Lufkin Division, all records and witnesses involving this action most likely will be located in the Lufkin

Division. Thus, the transfer of this action to such division would further justice. Therefore, it is the opinion of the undersigned that this petition should be transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Lufkin Division. An Order of Transfer so providing shall be entered in accordance with this Memorandum Opinion.

SIGNED this 13 day of November, 2007.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Earl S. Hines", written over a horizontal line.

EARL S. HINES
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE